## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

FILED E	O.C.
02 0CT 15 Pt	k: 53

MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK, INC.,	) )
Plaintiff,	) ) Civil Action No. 01-2373 GV
v.	)
GARY KARLIN MICHELSON, M.D., and KARLIN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	) )
Defendants.	) )

## AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Having considered plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Affirming Magistrate Judge's Order of January 31, 2002, or in the Alternative to Amend Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b), and for Stay of Related Discovery Pending Disposition, and defendants' opposition thereto, the Court finds that the Protective Order entered by the Magistrate Judge in this cause on January 31, 2002 (hereinafter "Protective Order") should be amended as to documents designated "Confidential Information - Attorneys' Eyes Only - Technical." Subsequent to the entering of the Protective Order, the Magistrate Judge issued an Order on Defendant's Motion to Compel and Sanctions dated July 18, 2002 wherein a category of documents designated "Attorney's Eyes Only - Technical" was created for certain research, development and other technical documents produced by plaintiff, pending the resolution of the aforementioned plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration.

The Court finds that this designation of documents -- "Confidential Information - Attorneys' Eyes Only - Technical" -- should become part of and incorporated into the Protective

()3<sup>2</sup>\)

Order entered in this cause with certain procedural guidelines which the Court has discussed in detail with the parties. For good cause shown, the Court therefore orders:

- 1. This Court hereby adopts in full the provisions of the Protective Order entered in this cause on January 31, 2002, modified as set out below.
- 2. Section I of the Protective Order ("Classification of Information") shall be amended by adding a new paragraph 2.1, as follows:
  - 2.1. "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL" means Confidential Information that is product research and development and other technical information not disclosed in any patents on which Dr. Michelson is a named inventor, except information as to which Dr. Michelson can document that he previously had personal access. This would include product research, development and technical information developed not only by Plaintiff and its related companies, but also by persons or entities who are not parties to this litigation.
- 3. In the following paragraphs of the Protective Order, the references to "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY or CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" shall be amended to refer to "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY or CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL or CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION":

Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 40, and Exhibit A.

Individuals who have already executed a copy of Exhibit A need not re-execute the same, but counsel for the party retaining or employing such person shall notify each such person that the provisions of Exhibit A shall apply equally to the category of documents designated "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – TECHNICAL," and shall provide each such individual with a copy of this Amended Protective Order.

- 3. Section III of the Protective Order ("Limitations on Using and Disclosing Information") shall be amended to add a new paragraph 15.1, as follows:
  - 15.1 Anything designated "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL" shall not be disclosed or made available to any person or entity other than those persons specifically designated in paragraph 14 of the Protective Order, and Dr. Michelson shall not review or have access to any documents designated "Confidential Information Attorneys' Eyes Only Technical," unless that designation of the classification of such documents is changed by the dispute resolution process set out in paragraph 22.1 below.
- 4. Section V of the Protective Order ("Disputes Regarding Designations") shall be amended by adding a new paragraph 22.1, as follows:
  - 22.1 The procedures for disputing the designation of documents as described in paragraphs 7 and 22 are modified as to documents designated "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL" as follows:
  - (a) Defendants may, from time to time, provide plaintiff with a list of documents designated "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - TECHNICAL" which defendants believe either should not be designated as such or which defendants believe (although properly designated), must be disclosed to Dr. Michelson personally. Along with that list, defendants shall provide a brief substantive explanation as to why each document or group of documents should not retain its "Confidential Information - Attorneys' Eyes Only - Technical" designation, including if possible, a statement suggesting the relationship of certain documents, or a group of documents to a particular disclosure by Dr. Michelson to plaintiff, or to a certain patent or patent application of Dr. Michelson. Defendants' statement need not be lengthy but shall include all points which defendants intend to assert in opposition to any motion by plaintiff under paragraph (d) below, so that plaintiff may fairly brief each issue in its opening brief.
  - (b) Within 10 business days after receipt of such list and explanatory statement, plaintiff will reconsider its designation and provide defendants with a list of any documents from which plaintiff is willing to remove the designation "CONFIDENTIAL"

INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY- TECHNICAL" and either de-designate or replace with an alternate designation under the Protective Order. If, however, defendants' list exceeds thirty documents in one week, plaintiff shall have an additional 5 business days to provide a response, unless otherwise agreed by the parties.

- (c) Within 10 business days after receipt of plaintiff's list, the parties will confer in a good faith attempt to resolve or narrow any remaining disputed documents.
- (d) If the parties are unable to resolve the issues relating to the disputed documents, then plaintiff shall, within 10 business days from the termination of the conference between the parties or unless otherwise agreed by the parties, petition the Magistrate Judge for resolution of this dispute under such procedures as the Magistrate Judge shall determine. Plaintiff shall bear the burden of defending the designation "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL" as to each document or group of documents in dispute.
- (e) If the Magistrate Judge deems it necessary, the Magistrate Judge shall set a time for oral argument to obtain further information as to one or more of the disputed documents. The Magistrate Judge shall make the final determination as to whether a particular document should retain its "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY TECHNICAL" designation.

Date:

IT IS SO ORDERED, this // day of October, 2002.

3
•

## · UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 239 in case 2:01-CV-02373 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on October 16, 2002 to the parties listed.

Leo Maurice Bearman BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN & CALDWELL First Tennessee Bank 165 Madison Avenue 20th floor Memphis, TN 38103

Bradley E. Trammell BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN & CALDWELL First Tennessee Bank 165 Madison Avenue 20th floor Memphis, TN 38103

Jack Q. Lever MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Melvin White MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Michael D. Switzer MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

William Hagedorn MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Michael D Switzer MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005 Ronald J. Pabis MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Melvin White MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Raphael V Lupo MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Jack Q Lever MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Ronald J. Pabis MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005

Jay S. Bowen BOWEN RILEY WARNOCK & JACOBSON 1906 West End Ave. Nashville, TN 37203

Taylor Cates BOWEN RILEY WARNOCK & JACOBSON 1906 West End Ave. Nashville, TN 37203

Stanley M. Gibson
JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars
7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Dan P. Sedor JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Marc Marmaro
JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars
7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Walker A. Matthews KIRKLAND & ELLIS 777 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

Robert G. Krupka KIRKLAND & ELLIS 777 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

Boaz M. Brickman KIRKLAND & ELLIS 777 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

Patricia Cirucci KIRKLAND & ELLIS 777 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

Marc H Cohen KIRKLAND & ELLIS 777 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

Diane Vescovo OFFICE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE DIANE K. VESCOVO 167 N. Main St., Room 341 Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable Julia Gibbons US DISTRICT COURT